Title: THERMAL SYNTHESIS APPARATUS AND PROCESS

Inventors: James R. Fincke
                   Brent A. Detering

THERMAL SYNTHESIS APPARATUS AND PROCESS

CONTRACTUAL ORIGIN OF THE INVENTION

This invention was made with United States Government support under Contract No. DE-AC07-99ID13727 awarded by the United States Department of Energy. The United States Government has certain rights in the invention.

RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims priority from United States provisional application S/N 60/181,488, filed on February 10, 2000, the disclosure of which is herein incorporated by reference. This application is also a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/320,784, filed May 27, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/076,922, now U.S. Patent No. 5,935,293, filed May 12, 1998, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/404,395, now U.S. Patent No. 5,749,937, filed March 14, 1995, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to a thermal synthesis process. In particular, the present invention relates to methods and apparatus for thermal conversion

1   of reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to desired

2   end products, such as either a gas or ultrafine solid particles.

3

4   **Relevant Technology**

5   Natural gas (where methane is the main hydrocarbon) is a low value and

6   underutilized energy resource in the United State. Huge reserves of natural gas are

7   known to exist in remote areas of the continental U.S., but this energy resource cannot be

8   transported economically and safely from those regions. Conversion of natural gas to

9   higher value hydrocarbons has been researched for decades with limited success in

10   today's economy. Recently, there have been efforts to evaluate technologies for the

11   conversion of natural gas (which is being flared) to acetylene as a feed stock for

12   commodity chemicals. The ready availability of large natural gas reserves associated

13   with oil fields and cheap labor might make the natural gas to acetylene route for

14   producing commodity chemicals particularly attractive in this part of the world.

15   Acetylene can be used as a feed stock for plastic manufacture or for conversion by

16   demonstrated catalyzed reactions to liquid hydrocarbon fuels. The versatility of acetylene

17   as a starting raw material is well known and recognized. Current feed stocks for plastics

18   are derived from petrochemical based raw materials. Supplies from domestic and foreign

19   oil reserves to produce these petrochemical based raw materials are declining, which puts

20   pressure on the search for alternatives to the petrochemical based feed stock. Therefore,

21   the interest in acetylene based feed stock has currently been rejuvenated.

Thermal conversion of methane to liquid hydrocarbons involves indirect or direct processes. The conventional methanol-to-gasoline (MTG) and the Fischer-Tropsch (FT) processes are two prime examples of such indirect conversion processes which involve reforming methane to synthesis gas before converting to the final products. These costly endothermic processes are operated at high temperatures and high pressures.

The search for direct catalytic conversion of methane to light olefins (e.g., C_2H_4) and then to liquid hydrocarbons has become a recent focal point of natural gas conversion technology. Oxidative coupling, oxyhydrochlorination, and partial oxidation are examples of direct conversion methods. These technologies require operation under elevated pressures, moderate temperatures, and the use of catalysts. Development of special catalysts for direct natural gas conversion process is the biggest challenge for the advancement of these technologies. The conversion yields of such processes are low, implementing them is costly in comparison to indirect processes, and the technologies have not been proven.

Light olefins can be formed by very high temperature (>1800° C.) abstraction of hydrogen from methane, followed by coupling of hydrocarbon radicals. High temperature conversion of methane to acetylene by the reaction $2CH_4 \rightarrow C_2H_2 + 3H_2$ is an example. Such processes have existed for a long time.

Methane to acetylene conversion processes currently use cold liquid hydrocarbon quenchants to prevent back reactions. Perhaps the best known of these is the Huels process which has been in commercial use in Germany for many years. The electric arc reactor of Huels transfers electrical energy by 'direct' contact between the

high-temperature arc (15000-20000 K) and the methane feed stock. The product gas is quenched with water and liquefied propane to prevent back reactions. Single pass yields of acetylene are less than 40% for the Huels process. Overall C_2H_2 yields are increased to 58% by recycling all of the hydrocarbons except acetylene and ethylene.

Although in commercial use, the Huels process is only marginally economical because of the relatively low single pass efficiencies and the need to separate product gases from quench gases. Subsidies by the German Government have helped to keep this process in production.

A similar process with 9 MW reactors was built by DuPont and operated between 1963 and 1968 supplying acetylene produced from liquid hydrocarbon sources to a neoprene plant. The process was also reportedly demonstrated at the pilot-plant scale using methane feed. The plant-scale operation was limited to liquefied petroleum gas or liquid hydrocarbon distillates. The size of the DuPont pilot scale process is not reported. In the DuPont process the arc was magnetically rotated while in the original Huels process the arc is "swirl stabilized" by tangential injection of gases. In the DuPont process, all feedstock, diluted with hydrogen, passed through the arc column. In the Huels process, a fraction of the reactants are injected downstream of the arc.

Westinghouse has employed a hydrogen plasma reactor for the cracking of natural gas to produce acetylene. In the plasma reactor, hydrogen is fed into the arc zone and heated to a plasma state. The exiting stream of hot H_2 plasma at temperatures above 5000 K is mixed rapidly with the natural gas below the arc zone, and the electrical energy is indirectly transferred to the feed stock. The hot product gas is quenched with liquefied

propane and water, as in the Huels process, to prevent back reactions. However, as with the Huels process, separation of the product gas from quench gas is needed. Recycling all of the hydrocarbons except acetylene and ethylene has reportedly increased the overall yield to 67%. The H_2 plasma process for natural gas conversion has been extensively tested on a bench scale, but further development and demonstration on a pilot scale is required.

The Scientific and Industrial Research Foundation of Norway has developed a reactor consisting of concentric, resistance-heated graphite tubes. Reaction cracking of the methane occurs in the narrow annular space between the tubes where the temperature is 1900 to 2100 K. In operation, carbon formation in the annulus led to significant operational problems. Again, liquefied quenchant is used to quench the reaction products and prevent back reactions. As with the previous two acetylene production processes described above, separation of the product gas from quench gas is needed. The overall multiple-pass acetylene yield from the resistance-heated reactor is about 80% and the process has been tested to pilot plant levels.

Accordingly, it is desirable to improve upon the modest methane conversion efficiencies, acetylene yields, selectivities, and specific energy requirements observed in the above processes.

Titanium's properties of high corrosion resistance and strength, combined with its relatively low density, result in titanium alloys being ideally suited to many high technology applications, particularly in aerospace systems. Applications of titanium in chemical and power plants are also attractive.

Unfortunately, the widespread use of titanium has been severely limited by its high cost. The magnitude of this cost is a direct consequence of the batch nature of the conventional Kroll and Hunter processes for metal production, as well as the high energy consumption rates required by their usage.

The large scale production processes used in the titanium industry have been relatively unchanged for many years. They involve the following essential steps: (1) Chlorination of impure oxide ore, (2) purification of $TiCl_4$ (3) reduction by sodium or magnesium to produce titanium sponge, (4) removal of sponge, and (5) leaching, distillation and vacuum remelting to remove Cl, Na, and Mg impurities. The combined effects of the inherent costs of such processes, the difficulty associated with forging and machining titanium and, in recent years, a shortfall in sponge availability, have contributed to relatively low titanium utilization.

One of the most promising techniques currently undergoing development to circumvent the high cost of titanium alloy parts is powder metallurgy for near net shape fabrication. For instance, it has been estimated that for every kilogram of titanium presently utilized in an aircraft, 8 kilograms of scrap are created. Powder metallurgy can substantially improve this ratio. Although this technology essentially involves the simple steps of powder production followed by compaction into a solid article, considerable development is currently underway to optimize the process such that the final product possesses at least equal properties and lower cost than wrought or cast material.

One potential powder metallurgy route to titanium alloy parts involves direct blending of elemental metal powders before compaction. Presently, titanium sponge

fines from the Kroll process are used, but a major drawback is their high residual

impurity content (principally chlorides), which results in porosity in the final material.

The other powder metallurgy alternative involves direct use of titanium alloy powder

subjected to hot isostatic pressing.

Several programs are currently involved in the optimization of such titanium alloy

powders. Results are highly promising, but all involve Kroll titanium as a starting

material. Use of such existing powders involves a number of expensive purification and

alloying steps as outlined above.

The formation of titanium under plasma conditions has received intermittent

attention in the literature over the last 30 years. Reports have generally been concerned

with the hydrogen reduction of titanium tetrachloride or titanium dioxide with some

isolated references to sodium or magnesium reduction.

The use of hydrogen for reducing titanium tetrachloride has been studied in an arc

furnace. Only partial reduction took place at 2100 K. The same reaction system has been

more extensively studied in a plasma flame and patented for the production of titanium

subchloride (German Patent 1,142,159, Jan. 10, 1963) and titanium metal (Japanese

Patents 6854, May 23, 1963; 7408, Oct. 15, 1955; U.S. Pat. No. 3,123,464, Mar. 3, 1964).

Although early thermodynamic calculations indicated that the reduction of

titanium tetrachloride to metallic titanium by hydrogen could start at 2500 K, the system

is not a simple one. Calculations show that the formation of titanium subchloride would

be thermodynamically more favorable in that temperature region.

1   U.S. Pat. No. 3,123,464, discloses that reduction of titanium tetrachloride to liquid

2 titanium can be successfully carried out by heating the reactants ($TiCl_4$ and H_2) at least to,

3 and preferably in excess of, the boiling point of titanium (3535 K). At such a high

4 temperature, it was disclosed that while titanium tetrachloride vapor is effectively

5 reduced by atomic hydrogen, the tendency of H_2 to dissolve in or react with Ti is

6 insignificant, the HCl formed is only about 10% dissociated, and the formation of

7 titanium subchlorides could be much less favorable. The titanium vapor product is then

8 either condensed to liquid in a water-cooled steel condenser at about 3000 K, from which

9 it overflows into a mold, or is flash-cooled by hydrogen to powder, which is collected in

10 a bin. Since the liquid titanium was condensed from gas with only gaseous by-products

11 or impurities, its purity, except for hydrogen, was expected to be high.

12   Japanese Patent 7408, describes reaction conditions as follows: a mixture of $TiCl_4$

13 gas and H_2 (50% in excess) is led through a 5 mm inside diameter nozzle of a tungsten

14 electrode at a rate of $4 \times 10\text{-}3\text{m}3/\text{min}$ and an electric discharge (3720 V and 533 mA) made

15 to another electrode at a distance of 15 mm. The resulting powdery crystals are heated in

16 vacuo to produce 99.4% pure titanium.

17   In neither of the above patents is the energy consumption clearly mentioned.

18 Attempts to develop the hydrogen reduction process on an industrial scale were made

19 using a skull-melting furnace, but the effort was discontinued. More recently, a claim

20 was made that a small quantity of titanium had been produced in a hydrogen plasma, but

21 this was later retracted when the product was truly identified as titanium carbide.

Docket No. B-026

In summary, the history of attempts to treat TiCl$_4$ in hydrogen plasmas appears to indicate that only partial reduction, i.e., to a mixture of titanium and its subchlorides, is possible unless very high temperatures (>4000 K) are reached. Prior researchers have concluded that extremely rapid, preferential condensation of vapor phase titanium would be required in order to overcome the unfavorable thermodynamics of the system.

Accordingly, there is a need for methods and apparatus that overcome or avoid the above problems and limitations.

SUMMARY AND OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for thermal conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to a desired end product.

It is a further object of the invention to provide an improved process conversion efficiency and product yield in the thermal conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to a desired end product.

It is yet another object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for increasing acetylene yield from the thermal conversion of natural gas.

To achieve the foregoing objects, and in accordance with the invention as embodied and broadly described herein, a method and apparatus are provided for thermal conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to a desired end product in the form of a gas or ultrafine solid particles. In general, the method includes the following steps. First, a reactant stream is introduced

at one end of an axial reactor. Next, the reactant stream is heated as the reactant stream

flows axially through an injection line having a reduced diameter with respect to the axial

reactor to produce turbulent flow and thereby thoroughly mix the reactant stream with a

heating gas. Thereafter, the thoroughly mixed reactant stream is passed axially through a

reaction zone of the axial reactor, with the reaction zone maintained at a substantially

uniform temperature over the length of the reaction zone. The axial reactor has a length

and a temperature and is operated under conditions sufficient to effect heating of the

reactant stream to a selected reaction temperature at which a desired product stream is

produced at a location adjacent the outlet end of the axial reactor.

In particular, the method of the invention comprises the following steps. First, a

stream of plasma arc gas is introduced between the electrodes of a plasma torch including

at least one pair of electrodes positioned adjacent to an inlet end of an axial reactor

chamber. The stream of plasma arc gas is introduced at a selected plasma gas flow while

the electrodes are subjected to a selected plasma input power level to produce a plasma in

a restricted diameter injection line that extends into the reactor chamber and toward an

outlet end of the reactor chamber. Second, an incoming reactant stream is thoroughly

mixed into the plasma by injecting at least one reactant into the injection line to produce

the thorough mixing prior to introduction into the reactor chamber. The reactor chamber

is maintained at a substantially uniform temperature over the flow field for the reactions

to reach equilibrium. The gaseous stream exiting a nozzle is cooled at the outlet end of

the reactor chamber by reducing its velocity while removing heat energy at a rate

sufficient to prevent increases in its kinetic temperature. The desired end products are then separated from the gases remaining in the cooled gaseous stream.

The present invention provides improvements in process conversion efficiency and acetylene yield over prior conventional processes. Such improvements are primarily accomplished by more efficient injection and mixing of reactants with plasma gases, and minimization of temperature gradients and cold boundary layers in the reactor. The improved mixing and thermal control also leads to increased specificity reducing the yield of hydrocarbons other than acetylene. The quench rate achieved by wall heat transfer in small reactors is adequate to arrest acetylene decomposition and soot formation. Formation of other hydrocarbon species, except for ethylene, is unaffected by significantly increasing the quench rate.

These and other objects, features, and advantages of the present invention will become more fully apparent from the following description and appended claims, or may be learned by the practice of the invention as set forth hereinafter.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In order to illustrate the manner in which the above-recited and other advantages and objects of the invention are obtained, a more particular description of the invention briefly described above will be rendered by reference to specific embodiments thereof which are illustrated in the appended drawings. Understanding that these drawings depict only typical embodiments of the invention and are not therefore to be considered to be

limiting of its scope, the invention will be described and explained with additional

specificity and detail through the use of the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a reactor system according to one

embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a reactor system according to

another embodiment of the invention;

Figure 3 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a reactor system according to a

further embodiment of the invention;

Figure 4 is a graph plotting the theoretical maximum amount of methane that can

be processed in the reactor system of the invention;

Figure 5 is a graph plotting conversion efficiency as a function of methane feed

rate;

Figure 6 is a graph plotting estimated reactor temperature and reactor residence

time as a function of methane injection rate;

Figure 7 is a graph plotting methane conversion efficiency as a function of reactor

pressure;

Figure 8 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of methane injection rate;

Figure 9 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of methane injection rate;

Figure 10 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield as a function of methane injection

rate;

Figure 11 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield, (less methane) as a function of methane injection rate;

Figure 12 is a graph plotting conversion efficiency as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 13 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 14 is a graph plotting yield efficiency as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 15 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield, (less methane) as a function of methane feed rate;

Figure 16 is a graph plotting acetylene yield as a function of pressure;

Figure 17 is a graph plotting hydrocarbon yield as a function of reactor pressure;

Figure 18 is a graph plotting acetylene produced and specific energy consumption as a function of methane feed rate.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to methods and apparatus for thermal conversion of reactants to desired end products, such as either a gas or ultrafine solid particles. Generally, an apparatus according to the present invention includes a multi-port injector that injects the reactants into a chamber upstream from a reactor and thereby allows the reactants to mix with a plasma stream. The reactor is insulated, such as with a carbon lining, which provides residence time for reactions to take place while minimizing radial temperature gradients. Optionally, a converging-diverging nozzle is attached at the

outlet end of the reactor, which provides for supersonic expansion to greatly increase quench rates.

Various reactors and methods for high temperature reactions that require rapid cooling to freeze the reaction products to prevent back reactions or decompositions to undesirable products exist. For example, U.S. Patent No. 5,749,937 to *Detering* (hereinafter "*Detering*") herein expressly incorporated by reference, uses adiabatic and isentropic expansion of gases in a converging-diverging nozzle for rapid quenching. This expansion can result in cooling rates exceeding 1010 K/s, thus preserving reaction products that are in equilibrium only at high temperatures.

Nevertheless, there is a continuing need for improved conversion efficiency and yield values. It has been discovered that temperature gradients and poor mixing lead to a non-uniform distribution of temperatures in reactors. The composition of the product stream is a function of kinetics or rate of reaction and also of the temperature non-uniformities. These effects can lead to significant variations in product stream composition. If the quench process is too slow or delayed, the product produced, such as acetylene, can thermally decompose to solid carbon soot or may further react, principally on hot surfaces, to form benzene and heavier hydrocarbons.

These problems are addressed by the present invention. The problem of non-uniform temperatures is overcome by using an insulatively lined "hot wall" reactor configuration, such as a carbon lined reactor, which minimizes the radial temperature gradients and heat loss from the reactor section. The problem of poor mixing is addressed through the use of a confined channel injector design, which provides good

mixing of reactants into a plasma stream. The effect of quench rate is addressed by the

incorporation of a supersonic quench nozzle into the apparatus, just downstream of the

reactor section. In the supersonic nozzle, the hot gases in the reactor section are rapidly

expanded to a lower pressure. Thus, thermal energy is converted to kinetic energy and

the mixture is rapidly cooled. This process is sometimes referred to as an aerodynamic

quench. Quench rates of 107° - 108° C/s or two to three orders of magnitude greater than

those reported in the original Huels process are achievable. Without the converging-

diverging nozzle, quench rates by wall heat transfer are estimated to be on the order of

0.1 x 106 °C/s.

Although the concepts of this reactor were originally developed in a study of the

formation of acetylene from natural gas, it will be appreciated by one skilled in the art

that the methods and apparatus of the invention can be used for other processes requiring

rapid quenching, including the production of titanium.

The fast quench reactor and method of operation described herein take advantage

of the high temperatures (5,000° to 20,000° C.) available in a high temperature heating

means such as a thermal plasma device to produce materials that are thermodynamically

stable at these high temperatures. These materials include metals, alloys, intermetallics,

composites, gases and ceramics.

The fast quench reactor and method of this invention shall be described and

illustrated forthwith in terms of a rapid heating means comprising a plasma torch and a

stream of plasma arc gas. However, it will be recognized that the rapid heating means can

also include other rapid heating means such as lasers, and flames produced by oxidation of a suitable fuel, e.g., an oxygen/hydrogen flame.

It will be appreciated that the various features described hereinbelow in the various embodiments can be interchanged to provide further embodiments that are encompassed by the present invention. For example, various embodiments may or not have a converging-diverging nozzle, mixing chamber, downstream injector, or anode injector.

Referring now to the drawings, Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of an ultra fast quenching apparatus 10. The apparatus 10 generally includes a torch section 12, an injector section 14, an enclosed axial reactor chamber 16, a converging-diverging nozzle 18, and a cooling section 20.

In one embodiment of the invention, the apparatus 10 is a fast quench axial reactor for thermal conversion of one or more reactants in a thermodynamically stable high temperature gaseous stream to a desired end product in the form of a gas or ultrafine solid particles. The apparatus 10 includes means for introducing a reactant stream at or upstream from an inlet end of the axial reactor, such as a multi-port injector located in either injector section 14 or torch section 12 or an anode injector as described hereinbelow, or equivalents thereof. The apparatus 10 also includes a heating means for producing a hot gaseous stream upstream from the inlet end of the axial reactor, wherein the stream is flowing axially toward an outlet end of the axial reactor. Such heating means can be selected from a plasma generating torch, lasers, flames produced by oxidation of a suitable fuel, e.g., an oxygen/hydrogen flame, and equivalents thereof.

Further, apparatus 10 includes means for passing the reactant stream and the hot gaseous stream through an injection line having a reduced diameter to produce turbulent flow and thereby thoroughly mix the reactant stream with the hot gaseous stream, such as an injection line 23 in injector section 14 or in torch section 12, or equivalents thereof. Apparatus 10 further includes means for minimizing radial temperature gradients within the axial reactor, such as an insulating layer on the interior of reactor chamber 16, and equivalents thereof. The axial reactor is preferably operated under conditions sufficient to effect heating of the reactant stream to a selected reaction temperature at which a desired end product is produced at a location adjacent the outlet end of the axial reactor. The various components of apparatus 10 will be described in further detail hereafter

The torch section 12 includes a plasma torch that is used to thermally decompose an incoming gaseous stream within a resulting plasma as the gaseous stream is delivered through the inlet of the reactor chamber.

A plasma is a high temperature luminous gas which is at least partially (1 to 100%) ionized. A plasma is made up of gas atoms, gas ions, and electrons. In the bulk phase a plasma is electrically neutral. A thermal plasma can be created by passing a gas through an electric arc formed between two electrodes (anode and cathode). The electric arc will rapidly heat the gas by resistive and radiative heating to very high temperatures within microseconds of passing through the arc. The plasma is typically luminous at temperatures above 9000 K.

A plasma can be produced with any gas in this manner. This gives excellent control over chemical reactions in the plasma as the gas might be neutral (argon, helium,

neon), reductive (hydrogen, methane, ammonia, carbon monoxide) or oxidative (oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide). Oxygen or oxygen/argon gas mixtures are used to produce metal oxide ceramics and composites. Other nitride, boride, and carbide ceramic materials require gases such as nitrogen, ammonia, hydrogen, methane, or carbon monoxide to achieve the correct chemical environment for synthesis of these materials.

The details of plasma generating torches are well known and need not be further detailed within this disclosure to make the present invention understandable to those skilled in this field.

An incoming stream of plasma gas is denoted by arrow 31. The plasma gas can also be a reactant or can be inert. A gaseous stream of one or more reactants (arrow 30) is normally injected separately into the plasma, which is directed toward the downstream outlet of the reactor chamber 16. The gaseous stream moving axially through the reactor chamber 16 includes the reactants injected into the plasma arc or within a carrier gas.

The injector section 14 includes injection ports 22, and a restricted diameter injection line 23 allows the reactants and plasma to mix before the reactant materials enter the reactor chamber 16. By allowing the reactants and plasma to mix prior to entering the reactor 16, there is less heat loss and the system efficiency improves.

Gases and liquids are the preferred forms of injected reactants. Solids may be injected, but usually vaporize too slowly for chemical reactions to occur in the rapidly flowing plasma gas before the gas cools. If solids are used as reactants, they will usually be heated to a gaseous or liquid state before injection into the plasma.

Typical residence times for materials within the free flowing plasma are on the order of milliseconds. To maximize mixing with the plasma gas, the reactants (liquid or gas) are injected under pressure (10 to 100 atmospheres) through a small orifice to achieve sufficient velocity to penetrate and mix with the plasma. It is preferable to use gaseous or vaporized reactants whenever practical, since this eliminates the need for a phase change within the plasma and improves the kinetics of the reactor. In addition, the injected stream of reactants is preferably is injected normal (90° angle) to the flow of the plasma gases. In some cases, however, positive or negative deviations from this 90° angle by as much as 30° may be optimum.

The high temperature of the plasma rapidly vaporizes the injected liquid materials and breaks apart gaseous molecular species to their atomic constituents. A variety of metals (titanium, vanadium, antimony, silicon, aluminum, uranium, tungsten), metal alloys (titanium/vanadium, titanium/aluminum, titanium/aluminum/vanadium), intermetallics (nickel aluminide, titanium aluminide), and ceramics (metal oxides, nitrides, borides, and carbides) can be synthesized by injecting metal halides (chlorides, bromides, iodides, and fluorides) in liquid or gaseous form into a plasma of the appropriate gas downstream from the anode arc attachment point and within the torch exit or along the length of the reactor chamber.

The enclosed axial reactor chamber 16 communicates with injector section 14 at an inlet end and communicates with nozzle 18 at an outlet end. The reactor chamber 16 according to on embodiment of the invention includes an insulative liner 34 on the interior surface thereof. The insulated reactor provides residence time for reactions to

19

take place while minimizing radial temperature gradients. A cooling water jacket (not shown) is typically disposed around the outside of reactor chamber 16.

The reactor chamber 16 is the location in which chemical reactions occur. The reactor chamber 16 begins downstream from the plasma arc inlet and terminates at the nozzle throat 26. The reactor chamber 16 includes a main reactor area in which product formation occurs, as well as a converging section 24, which is part of nozzle 18.

Temperature requirements within the reactor chamber and its dimensional geometry are specific to the temperature required to achieve an equilibrium state with an enriched quantity of each desired end product.

Since the reaction chamber is an area of intense heat and chemical activity it is necessary to construct the reactor chamber of materials that are compatible with the temperature and chemical activity to minimize chemical corrosion from the reactants, and to minimize melting degradation and ablation from the resulting intense plasma radiation. The reactor chamber is usually constructed of water cooled stainless steel, nickel, titanium, or other suitable materials. The reactor chamber can also be constructed of ceramic materials to withstand the vigorous chemical and thermal environment.

As discussed previously, the reactor chamber walls are lined with an insulator, such as carbon, to maintain a constant temperature gradient within the reactor chamber 16. The purpose of the insulator is to provide a barrier to reduce process heat loss to the cooling water jacket on the outside of the reactor chamber. Various insulative materials can be utilized as long as the selected material does not react with the reactants in the reactor chamber and has a sufficiently low coefficient of expansion to prevent swelling

and bursting of the outer wall of the reactor chamber. Thus, preferred materials such as carbon are good insulators, are chemically inert to the process reactants, have a low expansion coefficient, and withstand high temperatures, such about 2000° to 3000° K. Other suitable materials include, for example, boron nitride, zirconia, silicon carbide, and the like. However, any insulating material that will reduce heat transfer from the reactor chamber 16 to the outside wall will work as long as the above criteria is met.

The reaction chamber walls are internally heated by a combination of radiation, convection and conduction. Cooling of the reaction chamber walls prevents unwanted melting and/or corrosion at their surfaces. The system used to control such cooling should maintain the walls at as high a temperature as can be permitted by the selected wall material, which must be inert to the reactants within the reactor chamber 16 at the expected wall temperatures. This is true also with regard to the nozzle walls, which are subjected to heat only by convection and conduction.

The dimensions of the reactor chamber 16 are chosen to minimize recirculation of the plasma and reactant gases and to maintain sufficient heat (enthalpy) going into the nozzle throat to prevent degradation (undesirable back or side reaction chemistry).

The length of the reactor chamber 16 must be determined experimentally by first using an elongated tube within which the user can locate the target reaction threshold temperature. The reactor chamber 16 can then be designed long enough so that reactants have sufficient residence time at the high reaction temperature to reach an equilibrium state and complete the formation of the desired end products. Such reaction temperatures can range from a minimum of about 1700° C. to about 4000° C.

The inside diameter of the reactor chamber 16 is determined by the fluid properties of the plasma and moving gaseous stream. It must be sufficiently great to permit necessary gaseous flow, but not so large that undesirable recirculating eddies or stagnant zones are formed along the walls of the chamber. Such detrimental flow patterns will cool the gases prematurely and precipitate unwanted products, such as subchlorides or carbon. As a general rule, the inside diameter of the reactor chamber 16 should be in the range of about 100 to about 150% of the plasma diameter at the inlet end of the reactor chamber 16.

The convergent-diverging nozzle 18 is coaxially positioned downstream from reactor chamber 16. The converging-diverging nozzle produces a rapid drop in kinetic temperature in a flowing gas stream. This effectively "freezes" or stops all chemical reactions. It permits efficient collection of desired end products as the gases are rapidly cooled without achieving an equilibrium condition. Resulting end products which have been produced in the plasma at high temperature but are thermodynamically unstable or unavailable at lower temperatures can then be collected due to resulting phase changes (gas to solid) or stabilization by cooling to a lower equilibrium state (gas to gas).

The converging or upstream section of nozzle 18 restricts gas passage and controls the residence time of the hot gaseous stream within the reactor chamber 16, allowing its contents to reach thermodynamic equilibrium. The contraction that occurs in the cross sectional size of the gaseous stream as it passes through the converging portions of nozzle 18 change the motion of the gas molecules from random directions, including

rotational and vibrational motions, to straight line motion parallel to the reactor chamber axis. The dimensions of the reactor chamber 16 and the incoming gaseous flow rates are selected to achieve sonic velocity within the restricted nozzle throat.

As the confined stream of gas enters the diverging or downstream portions of nozzle 18, it is subjected to an ultra fast decrease in pressure as a result of a gradual increase in volume along the conical walls of the nozzle exit. The resulting pressure change instantaneously lowers the temperature of the gaseous stream to a new equilibrium condition.

By proper selection of nozzle dimensions, the reactor chamber 16 can be operated at atmospheric pressure or in a pressurized condition, while the cooling section 20 downstream from nozzle 18 is maintained at a vacuum pressure by operation of a pump. The sudden pressure change that occurs as the gaseous stream traverses nozzle 18 brings the gaseous stream to a lower equilibrium condition instantly and prevents unwanted back reactions that would occur under more drawn out cooling conditions.

The purpose of the converging section 24 of the nozzle 18 is to compress the hot gases rapidly into a restrictive nozzle throat 26 with a minimum of heat loss to the walls while maintaining laminar flow and a minimum of turbulence. This requires a high aspect ratio change in diameter that maintains smooth transitions to a first steep angle (>45°) and then to lesser angles (<45°) leading into the nozzle throat.

The purpose of the nozzle throat 26 is to compress the gases and achieve sonic velocities in the flowing hot gaseous stream. This converts the random energy content of the hot gases to translational energy (velocity) in the axial direction of gas flow. This

effectively lowers the kinetic temperature of the gases and almost instantaneously limits

further chemical reactions. The velocities achieved in the nozzle throat and in the

downstream diverging section of the nozzle are controlled by the pressure differential

between the reactor chamber 16 and the section downstream of the diverging section 28

of nozzle 18. Negative pressure can be applied downstream or positive pressure applied

upstream for this purpose.

The purpose of the diverging section 28 of nozzle 18 is to smoothly accelerate and

expand gases exiting the nozzle from sonic to supersonic velocities, which further lowers

the kinetic temperature of the gases.

The term "smooth acceleration" in practice requires use of a small diverging angle

of less than 35 degrees to expand the gases without suffering deleterious effects of

separation from the converging wall and inducing turbulence. Separation of the

expanding gases from the diverging wall causes recirculation of some portion of the gases

between the wall and the gas jet exiting the nozzle throat. This recirculation in turn results

in local reheating of the expanding gases and undesirable degradation reactions,

producing lower yields of desired end products.

The super fast quench phenomenon observed in the embodiments of the invention

that include a converging-diverging nozzle in the apparatus is achieved by rapidly

converting thermal energy in the gases to kinetic energy via a modified adiabatic and

isentropic expansion through the converging-diverging nozzle. A thorough discussion of

the physics of the nozzle is provided in *Detering*.

An additional reactant, such as hydrogen at ambient temperatures, can be tangentially injected into the diverging section of nozzle 18 to complete the reactions or prevent back reactions as the gases are cooled.

Cooling section 20 is positioned coaxially downstream from nozzle 18 and serves to further cool the gaseous stream and quench the reactions. The walls of reactor chamber 16, nozzle 18, and coaxial cooling section 20 are all physically cooled by cooling streams.

Reaction end products are collectable within a cyclone separator (not shown). A downstream liquid trap, such as a liquid nitrogen trap, can be used to condense and collect reaction products such as hydrogen chloride and ultra-fine powders within the gaseous stream prior to the gaseous stream entering a vacuum pump.

Referring now to Figure 2, a further embodiment of the invention comprises an apparatus 50, which includes generally a torch/injection section 52, an injector section 54, a insulated reactor chamber 56, and a cooling section 58. It is understood that the majority of the components are as described hereinabove for apparatus 10.

It has been discovered that the various improvements described hereinabove make nozzle 18 unnecessary to obtain positive results in this embodiment of the invention. Accordingly, the nozzle assembly is removed and replaced with a straight cooling pipe section of the same inside diameter as the downstream piping. Although not illustrated, the present invention also anticipates that the nozzle assembly can be replaced by a converging section similar to the converging section 24 of converging-diverging

nozzle 18 when solid material plugging is to be avoided. If this is not a problem, then the abrupt transition from reactor chamber 56 to cooling section 58 is suitable.

Yet another feature of this embodiment of the invention is that an anode injector 64 is included as part of torch/injection section 52. By locating anode injector 64 closer to the plasma arc, there is reduced heat loss and therefore greater mixing efficiency. However, this embodiment also illustrates that a downstream injector port(s) 60 can also be included, providing a separate reactant injection port in communication with injection line 62.

The reactor chamber 56 according to this embodiment of the invention includes an insulative liner 66 on the interior surface thereof.

Referring now to Figure 3, another embodiment of the invention comprises an apparatus 100, which includes generally a torch/injection section 102, an insulated reactor chamber 104, and a cooling section 106. In particular, this embodiment eliminates the injector section used in the embodiments described hereinabove. It is understood that the majority of the components are as described hereinabove for apparatus 10.

The apparatus 100 includes an anode injector 110, but no downstream injector. As a result, the anode injector 110 is close to the arc, has space to provide a thorough mixing prior to entering the reaction chamber, and is closer to the reaction chamber to avoid excess cooling. However, it can be seen that torch section 102 still includes a reduced diameter injection line 112 to create turbulant flow and to ensure that the reactants thoroughly mix with the plasma before entering the reactor chamber 104.

It is by including insulating layer 108 on reactor chamber 104, including injection line 112, and by carefully placing anode injector 110 closer to the arc that apparatus 100 is able to achieve the desired reactions at a high efficiency, even without the converging-diverging nozzle.

Although the present disclosure focuses on the production of acetylene from methane, it will understood by those skilled in the art that other materials can also be produced by the methods and apparatus of the invention. These include, by way of example only, titanium, vanadium, aluminum, and titanium/vanadium alloys.

The following examples are given to illustrate the present invention, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLES

All instrumentation used in the following Examples except for the gas chromatograph (GC) was directly interfaced to a data acquisition system for continuous recording of system parameters during a test run. Once the specified process power levels, pressure, and gas flow rates were established, the gas stream was continuously sampled by the gas chromatograph for a period of 7 minutes before the chromatograph gas sample was acquired to ensure that a representative sample was obtained. This sampling period represents approximately three times the time required to completely purge the sample line. The pressure downstream of the quench nozzle was controlled by a mechanical vacuum pump and flow control valve. Depending on the test conditions, the test pressure can be independently adjusted between atmospheric pressure and

approximately 100 torr. The experiment reached steady state in a period of 1 minute or less. Steady state operation was verified by a continuously reading residual gas analyzer (RGA). All cooling water flow rates and inlet and outlet temperatures were monitored and recorded allowing a complete system energy balance to be calculated.

The plasma gases used were a mixture of Ar and H_2; methane or natural gas was injected downstream in a confined channel transverse jet injector. The DC plasma torch that was used will not operate for extended periods of time on pure hydrogen without severe anode erosion, hence all test data was acquired using at least some Ar plasma gas. The use of Ar, which is inert and does not participate chemically in the process, has the advantage that it provides a built-in reference for validating the overall process mass balance. The processing of methane directly in the discharge is precluded by the severe erosion of the tungsten cathode via the formation of volatile tungsten carbides. The two most critical aspects of the experiment are the chemical analysis of the product stream and the overall mass balance.

Two example test series were conducted, with the major differences between the two being the presence or absence of the converging-diverging quench nozzle. When the quench nozzle was installed, a downstream valve was wide open and the downstream pressure was determined by the capacity of a vacuum pump. The downstream pressure in this mode generally ran between 100 and 200 torr. In this configuration, the flow was choked in the converging-diverging nozzle throat and the reactor pressure was determined by the nozzle throat diameter, the reactor temperature, and the mass flow rate of the plasma and reactant gases. Under these conditions the reactor pressure generally ran

between 600 and 800 torr for an upstream to downstream pressure ratio between 4 and 6. The corresponding Mach number range was 1.6 to 1.8. Assuming a reactor temperature of 2000 °C, the aerodynamic quench rapidly lowers the temperature to 1100-1300 °C. The measured thermal efficiency of the plasma torch was between 80 and 90% depending on the gas mixture and flow rates. The power to the plasma torch was adjusted to give a constant 60kW deposited in the plasma gas. Since the torch voltage is essentially determined by the argon to hydrogen ratio, the power was adjusted by adjusting the current to obtain the desired 60 kW into the plasma. The injector ring, reactor section, and nozzle assembly energy balances indicated that approximately 14.6 kW were lost in these components to the cooling water. The partitioning of this energy loss is illustrated in Table 1 below:

<u>Table 1</u>

Location:	Torch	Injector	Reactor	Nozzle
Energy loss:	+60 kW into plasma	-7.3 kW to cooling water	-3.9 kW to cooling water	-3.4 kW to cooling water

The result is that approximately 45 kW is available for conversion of natural gas to acetylene. By careful system redesign, which includes placement of the injector function inside the torch body and optimization (shortening) of reactor length, these losses can probably be reduced by 70% or more. Figure 4 contains a plot of the theoretical maximum amount of methane that can be converted to acetylene in the current configuration under nominal operating conditions. Nominal operating conditions are

defined as 160 standard liters per minute (slm) Ar, 100 slm H_2 for the plasma torch gas and 60 kW deposited in the plasma. The target reactor temperature is 2000 °C. Under the nominal conditions the maximum theoretical amount of methane that can be converted to acetylene is approximately 145 slm.

The conversion efficiency, for pure methane injection, is defined as:

$$CE = 1 - \frac{[CH_4]\dot{Q}_{STP}}{\dot{Q}_{CH_4}} = 1 - y_{CH_4}$$

where $[CH_4]$ is the molar fraction of methane in the product stream obtained from the GC and Q_{CH4} is the methane injection rate.

Acetylene yield (for pure methane injection) is defined as:

$$y_{C_2H_2} = \frac{2[C_2H_2]\dot{Q}_{STP}}{\dot{Q}_{CH_4}}$$

where $[C_2H_2]$ is the molar fraction of acetylene in the product stream measured by the GC and the actual measured gas flow converted to standard conditions (1 atmosphere pressure and 0 °C) is Q_{STP}

Example 1

In this example the results of experiments with a converging-diverging nozzle are presented. Conversion efficiency as a function of the rate of methane injection is plotted in the graph of Figure 5. In developing this data set the power deposited in the plasma was maintained constant at 60 kW and the plasma gas flow rates were constant at 160 slm Ar and 100 slm H_2. The measured reactor pressure was relatively constant, varying between approximately 670 and 730 torr, depending on the rate of methane injection. Methane conversion was essentially complete, that is a conversion efficiency of 100% , at methane feed rates up to about 100 slm. At feed rates above 100 slm the conversion efficiency started to decline and dipped below approximately 95% at a feed rate of around 120 slm. The estimated bulk gas temperature in the reactor and corresponding residence time in the reactor is plotted in the graph of Figure 6. This estimate was obtained from the measured system energy balance, the plasma gas and methane flow rates, and the assumption of 100% acetylene yield. The target temperature of approximately 2000 °C was reached at a methane flow rate of approximately 145 slm. For methane injection rates less than 145 slm the estimated reactor temperature was greater than 2000 °C. If the reactor temperature is uniform, which it is not, and if the process follows the equilibrium diagram of the graph of Figure 4, it is expected that for temperatures much below 2000 °C (methane feed rates greater that about 145 slm) that the conversion efficiency will start to decline.

An alternative representation of this is shown in the graph of Figure 4 where the maximum amount of methane that it is theoretically possible to process is plotted as a

function of energy (power) available. For the nominal operating condition of 60 kW into the plasma gas minus the 15 or so kW that is lost in the injector, reactor and nozzle assembly for a net of about 45 kW, the maximum amount of methane that can be processed is approximately 145 slm. For injection rates in excess of 145 slm there was not enough energy available to dissociate and convert the injected methane to acetylene with 100 % efficiency and result in a product stream temperature of 2000 °C. The presence of the inevitable cold boundary layers in the injection ring and reactor also result in some gas that can pass through the reactor without being dissociated. At the lower flow rates and corresponding higher temperatures the methane was almost completely converted and the conversion efficiency approaches 100%. The conversion efficiency was observed to decline at 120 slm, somewhat below the anticipated value of 145 slm. This may be due to the presence of cold boundary layer flow, or due to inadequate residence time for dissociation. Inspection of the residence time plot in Figure 6, shows that the residence time in the reactor is relatively constant and independent of methane injection rate. The increase in mass flow rate and anticipated velocity increase with increased methane injection is offset by the cooling of the gas mixture that also occurs with increased methane injection rate.

The possible influence of residence time on conversion efficiency was evaluated by replacing the converging-diverging nozzle with a straight, constant diameter section that matched the inside diameter of the downstream piping. By removing the converging-diverging nozzle the reactor pressure could be controlled independently of the flow rates. When the converging-diverging nozzle is installed the flow is choked

(reaches sonic velocity) in the nozzle throat. Under this condition the reactor pressure is independent of the downstream pressure and is determined solely by the mass flow rate and temperature. With the converging-diverging nozzle removed the reactor pressure is controlled by the position of a downstream valve. Decreasing the reactor pressure increases the velocity in the reactor and decreases residence time. For this series of tests the pressure was varied from 300 to 700 torr, decreasing the residence time in the reactor by a factor of 2.3, or from about 3.25 ms to 1.4 ms. A slight decrease in conversion efficiency of about 2 percentage points, was observed at the lower pressure, as shown in the graph of Figure 7. While this suggests a slight dependence on residence time it is not enough to account for the decrease in conversion efficiency observed in the graph of Figure 5. This suggests that the presence of cold boundary layers is in fact mostly responsible for the observed decrease. The residence time in the reactor is sufficient for dissociation of the methane, and as we will show next, also sufficient for the formation of acetylene.

Acetylene yield as a function of methane injection rate appears in the graph of Figure 8. The trends observed in the yield data are similar to those observed in the plot of conversion efficiency, shown in Figure 5. The acetylene yield was approximately 95% for methane injection rates less than about 100 slm. Further increases in methane injection rate resulted in a decrease of yield. At an injection rate of 145 slm, the theoretical maximum feed rate that can be processed with conversion efficiency and yield approaching 100%, the measured yield dropped to 75%. In Figure 9, the measured yield

has been normalized to account for the measured decrease in conversion efficiency. This normalization is simply:

$$yield_{normalized} = \frac{yield}{conversion efficiency}$$

The normalized yield is a measure of selectivity for conversion to acetylene. As illustrated in the graph of Figure 9, this normalization accounts for a significant portion of the observed decrease in acetylene yield. Improving the conversion efficiency will flatten the yield curve significantly. This suggests that minimization of the cold boundary layers through improved thermal design can result in an improvement in overall system performance and that the intrinsic acetylene yield is high over a wide range at reactant flow rates (reactor temperature).

The decrease in the measured yield that is not accounted for by the decrease in conversion efficiency is due to the formation of other carbon containing species. These include other hydrocarbons and soot. Figures 10 and 11 contain plots of the carbon basis yield of the other hydrocarbon species observed as a function of methane injection rate. Yield is given as a percentage of carbon introduced into the system as methane. The figures are identical except for scale and the absence of methane in Figure 11. In Figure 10 the decrease in conversion efficiency with increased methane injection rate is evident in the increase in methane yield. Figure 11 is the same data plotted on an expanded scale. The observed decrease in the normalized yield is due to an increase in the yield of other carbon containing species. The species represented on the plot are the only ones observed by the gas chromatograph. Interestingly enough, after an initial increase in the yield of

olefins plus the C_6 and heavier ($C_5=/C_6+$) hydrocarbons the relative amount decreases slightly at higher methane injection rates. These relatively heavy hydrocarbons were subsequently identified as almost entirely benzene by GCMS analysis. The other carbon containing species observed, ethylene, propadiene, and t-2-butene steadily increase as the rate of methane injection increases. The dependence of conversion, yield, and the yield of other hydrocarbon species on the relative amounts of Ar and H_2 ratio was examined by decreasing the Ar to H_2 ratio by a factor of two. There was no noticeable effect on the conversion efficiency or on the composition of the product stream. Because the product stream is always characterized by an abundance of H_2 the Ar present appears to have little or no effect on the kinetics and does not shift the equilibrium of the product stream.

In addition to the tests utilizing methane as the reactant gas, a limited number of runs were performed using pipeline natural gas. The observed conversion efficiencies, acetylene yields and the yields of the other hydrocarbons were identical to the prior results using pure methane as the feedstock. The analyses of the natural gas and product streams appear in Table 2.

The results are virtually identical to the pure methane runs with the exception of the N_2, which is essentially inert, and the conversion of the CO_2 to CO. In a carbon rich system the CO-CO_2 equilibrium tends toward CO at relatively modest temperatures on the order of 1000° C.

Table 2. Gas Chromatograph analysis of natural gas reactant and product stream in mole percent, 60 kW plasma power, 140

slm Ar, 100 slm H$_2$, and 98.5 slm natural gas

	H$_2$	C5=/C4+	Propane C$_3$H$_8$	Acetylene C$_2$H$_2$	i-Butane C$_4$H$_{10}$	n-Butane C$_4$H$_{10}$	CO	Ethylene C$_2$H$_4$	Ethan C$_2$H$_6$	Ar	N$_2$	Methane CH$_4$	CO	Solid Carbon Yield
Natural Gas	0	0.04	0.74	0	0.1	0.11	0.5	0	3.79	0	1.18	93.47	0	–
Product Stream	53.5	0.34	0	11.8	0	0	0	0.182	0	33	0.44	0.21	0.2	3.2%

Docket No. B-026

36

<center>Example 2</center>

In this example the results of experiments without the use of a converging-diverging nozzle are presented. The conversion efficiency (~100% vs. 70%) yield, and selectivity (95% vs. 51%) of the present process demonstrated appear to be somewhat superior to the original Huels process. This may be due to better mixing, temperature uniformity, or more rapid quenching. The Huels product stream analysis as well as the laboratory scale results are summarized in Table 2.

To assess the effect of rapid aerodynamic quenching a series of tests, identical to those reported in Example 1 were conducted, but without the converging-diverging nozzle. In these tests the system pressure was maintained at between 700 and 900 torr, approximately the same as the reactor pressure in the test series of Example 1. Conversion efficiency, yield, and normalized yield results are summarized in the graphs of Figures 12-14. The yield of other hydrocarbon species is summarized in the graph of Figure 15.

The yield and conversion efficiency results without the converging-diverging nozzle and supersonic aerodynamic quench are virtually identical to the earlier results developed with the nozzle present. There appears to be some minor improvement in yield at the higher methane injection rates when the nozzle is present, but the deviations are within the uncertainty estimate and the error bars significantly overlap. Examination of yields of other hydrocarbons, as shown in as shown in Figure 15, indicate a statistically significant difference in the yields of ethylene between the result with the nozzle removed and with the nozzle in place (Figure 11). Apparently the high quench rates afforded by

<center>37</center>

the nozzle have the effect of suppressing the formation of ethylene, cutting the yield of ethylene by approximately 30%. The exact mechanism of ethylene formation is as yet undefined; however, it is likely that the kinetics and population of the CH_2 radical play an important role.

With the converging-diverging nozzle removed, the reactor pressure can be independently controlled with a valve. This configuration allows investigation of the effect of pressure on yield. It was demonstrated earlier, as shown in Figure 7, that pressure and resident time has only a small effect on conversion efficiency. Measured acetylene yield is plotted as a function of reactor pressure in the graph of Figure 16. The power is constant at 60 kW into the gas and the flow rates are maintained at 160 slm Ar, 100 slm H_2, and 98.5 slm CH_4. There appears to be a slight decrease in acetylene yield with increasing pressure although the effect is not large. The decrease in yield is accompanied by a slight decrease in benzene yield and an increase in the ethylene yield, as shown in Figure 17. In general, pressure changes in the range of 300-700 torr do not have a large effect.

Docket No. B-026

Table 2. Product stream analysis in mole percent.

		Acetylene C_2H_2	Allene C_3H_4	Diacetylene C_4H_2	C_4H	Ethylene C_2H_4	Methane CH_4	Ethane C_2H_6	Propane C_3H_8	i-Butane C_4H_{10}	n-Butane C_4H_{10}	Benzene C_6H_6	H_2	CO	N_2	Carbon Yield
Fuels process	feedstock	–		–	–	–	92.3	1.4	0.5	–	0.4	–	–	–	5.4	–
	product	14.5		0.6	0.1	0.9	16.3	0.04	0.05	0.01	–	0.3	63.4	0.6	2.7	2.7%
Current process W/quench	feedstock	–		–	–	–	93.47	3.79	0.74	0.10	0.11	0.04	–	–	1.18	–
	product*	11.8		–	–	–	0.21	–	–	–	–	0.34	53.47	0.25	0.44	3.2%

*Also includes 33.3 mole percent argon.

39

Example 3

Comprehensive data for a test run are provided in Tables 3-5 below. The test run was conducted without a converging-diverging nozzle. Table 3 presents a summary of the flow rates, power, measured torch thermal efficiency, nozzle geometry, and reactor and exit pressures.

Table 3

Test SEPT13A-4P	
Ar (slm)	160.4
H2 (slm)	100.1
CH4 (slm)	75.9
Net Power (kW)	60.2
Efficiency %	85
Geometry	straight
Pressure exit (torr)	409
Pressure reactor (torr)	550

Table 4 presents yield, volume rate, specific energy requirements (SER) and relative yield for the acetylene and hydrogen in the test. The column and row headings are generally sufficient to describe the data contained therein. Specifically, the column labeled Yield %: Qt meas. provides the yield of acetylene and hydrogen from methane feedstock and measured conversion efficiency. The acetylene yield is the percent of the

carbon in the methane feedstock that ends up in acetylene and the hydrogen yield is the
percent of the hydrogen in the methane feedstock that ends up as elemental hydrogen. Qt
denotes measurements based on the downstream turbine flow meter. The column labeled
Yield %: Qt At std. provides the yield of acetylene and hydrogen from methane feedstock
and measured conversion efficiency. Q Ar std denotes measurements based on input flow
rate of argon and gas chromatography data.

The column labeled volume rate (slm) provides the volumetric flow rate of
acetylene and hydrogen generated from methane feedstock. Qt denotes measurements
based on the downstream turbine flow meter. Q Ar std denotes measurements based on
input flow rate of argon and gas chromatography data.

The next four columns provide the specific energy requirements. Qt denotes
measurements based on the downstream turbine flow meter. Q Ar std denotes
measurements based on input flow rate of argon and gas chromatography data.

The column labeled R yield provides the relative yield. Yield numbers have been
normalized for conversion efficiency.

Table 4

	Yield %		Volume Rate (slm)		SER Qt meas	SER Q Ar std	SER Qt meas	SER Q Ar std	R yield
	Qt meas	Q Ar std	Qt meas	Q Ar std	kW-hr/kg	kW-hr/kg	kW-hr/Mscf	kW-hr/Mscf	
									Ar std
C2H2	98.2	96.14	37.27	36.48	23.19	23.69	765.60	782.14	0.97
									Qt

H2 from CH4	47.90	45.49	72.70	69.1	154.56	162.74	392.49	413.26	0.99
Conv. eff %	99.3	99.30							

Table 5 presents flow rate and mass balance data for the various species in this test run. The column and row headings are generally sufficient to describe the data contained therein to one skilled in the art. Specifically, the column labeled [conc %] provides the concentration in mole percent measured by gas chromatography. Table 5 is presented in two parts because of its size.

Table 5, Part A

Species	[conc %]	Qin slm	mdot in	Qt meas	mt meas	mdot H in	mdot H out
H2 (Hydrogen)	45.825	100.1	8.94	377.1	15.43	8.94	15.43
C5=/C6+(C6H6)	0.217	0.0	0.00	377.1	2.85	0.00	0.22
C3H8 (Propane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C2H2 (Acetylene)	9.884	0.0	0.00	377.1	43.26	0.00	3.33
C3H6 (Propylene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H10 (i-Butane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C3H4 (Propadiene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H10 (n-Butane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (i-butene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (i-Butane/ i-Butylene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (t-2-Butene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.08	0.00	0.01
C4H8 (c-2-Butene)	0.008	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00

Species							
C4H6 (1,3-Butadiene)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C5H12 (i-Pentane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C5H12 (n-Pentane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO2 (Carbon dioxide)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
C2H4 (Ethylene)	0.459	0.0	0.00	377.1	2.16	0.00	0.31
C2H6 (Ethane)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ar (Argon)	43.454	160.4	286.43	377.1	292.62	0.00	0.00
N2 (Nitrogen)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
CH4 (Methane)	0.145	75.9	54.21	377.1	0.39	13.55	0.10
CO (Carbon monoxide)	0.000	0.0	0.00	377.1	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL g/min			349.58		356.79	22.49	19.39
% difference					-2.06		13.77
% Soot produced based on carbon balance							

Table 5, Part B

Species	mdot C in	mdot C out	yield Qt	Q Ar std	mt Ar std	mdot H Ar	mdot C Ar std	yield Ar std
H2 (Hydrogen)	0.00	0.00	0.00	369.13	15.10	15.10	0.00	0.0
C5=/C6+(C6H6)	0.00	2.63	6.5	369.13	2.79	0.21	2.58	6.34
C3H8 (Propane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C2H2 (Acetylene)	0.00	39.93	98.2	369.13	42.35	3.26	39.09	96.14
C3H6 (Propylene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H10 (i-Butane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C3H4 (Propadiene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H10 (n-Butane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (I-butene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H8 (i-Butane/i-Butylene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

C4H8 (t-2-Butene)	0.00	0.07	0.2	369.13	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.16
C4H8 (c-2-Butene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C4H6 (1.3-Butadiene)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C5H12 (i-Pentane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C5H12 (n-Pentane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO2 (Carbon dioxide)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C2H4 (Ethylene)	0.00	1.85	4.6	369.13	2.12	0.30	1.82	4.47
C2H6 (Ethane)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ar (Argon)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	286.43	0.00	0.00	0.00
N2 (Nitrogen)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CH4 (Methane)	40.66	0.29	0.7	369.13	0.38	0.10	0.29	0.70
CO (Carbon monoxide)	0.00	0.00	0.0	369.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL g/min	40.66	44.78	110.1		349.25	18.98	43.84	107.8
% difference		-10.14			0.09	15.59	-7.81	
% Soot produced based on carbon balance.		-10.14					-7.81	

The measured conversion efficiency and acetylene yield in the laboratory reactor system described here in Examples 1 and 2 are in general somewhat better than reported in the literature; conversion efficiencies (CE) approach 100% and yields in the 90-95% range with 2-4% soot produced have been demonstrated. This appears to be somewhat of an improvement over the Huels process (CE = 70.5% and yC_2H_2 = 51.4%, 2.7% carbon soot) and the DuPont process (CE -not reported, $yC_2H_2 \approx$ 70%). The process reported here also appears to have somewhat better specificity for acetylene. The improvement in conversion efficiency, yield and specificity are due primarily to improved injector design and mixing (a better "stirred" reactor) and minimization of temperature gradients and cold boundary layers. The rate of cooling by wall heat transfer appears to be sufficient to

quench the product stream and prevent further decomposition of acetylene into soot or further reaction leading to heavier hydrocarbon products. Significantly increasing the quench rate by rapidly expanding the product stream through a converging-diverging nozzle leads to only marginal improvement in the composition of the product stream, primarily the reduction of the yield of ethylene.

The specific amount of energy consumed (kW-hr) per amount (kg) of acetylene produced ultimately determines the economics of the process. The Huels process reportedly consumed 12.1 kW-hr/kg-C_2H_2 produced. The DuPont process specific energy consumption was estimated, though not measured, to be 8.8 kW -hr/kg-C_2H_2 produced. This later value compares favorably with the theoretical minimum value of approximately 7.9 kW -hr/kg-C_2H_2 for a product stream at 2000 °C, 100% conversion efficiency and yield and no electrical or thermal losses. The measured specific energy consumption for the laboratory scale process examined in this study is plotted in the graph of Figure 18. The minimum measured specific energy consumption is approximately 16 kW-hr/kg-C_2H_2 produced. It is estimated that this could be improved to a value of around 13 kW-hr/kg-C_2H_2 by improved thermal design. This includes moving the injection into the torch body thus avoiding the thermal losses in the injector ring and reducing the thermal losses in the reactor section. Process heat recovery might further reduce the specific energy consumption by another 20% or so to around the 10 kW -hr/kg-C_2H_2 range. These numbers compare favorably with the specific energy consumption reported for the Huels and DuPont processes while demonstrating improved conversion efficiency and yield.

The present invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from its spirit or essential characteristics. The described embodiments are to be considered in all respects only as illustrative and not restrictive. The scope of the invention is, therefore, indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description. All changes which come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be embraced within their scope.